

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/648,865	08/25/2000	Kent Iverson	CISCP656 5977	
7590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
BARTON E. SHOWALTER			HAN, CLEMENCE S	
BAKER BOLTS 2001 ROSS AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600			2665	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Andian Comment	09/648,865	IVERSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Clemence Han	2665			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I	<u> March 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-15 and 18-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 6-15 and 18-22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  application from the International Bure:  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati onty documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim 1-3, 6, 11-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach (US Patent 6,353,742) in view of Huang et al. (US 6,590,879).

Regarding to claim 1 and 11–13, Bach teaches an apparatus, comprising: a selection and distribution unit 115 that is included within a base station controller 105, the selection and distribution unit being operable to: (a) receive signal quality information from a plurality of base transceiver stations 101–103 about a plurality of data streams that are associated with a plurality of mobile stations 113 which are capable of moving within a wireless network (Column 2 Line 30–31, Column 4 Line 20–24); (b) select one of the plurality of data streams associated with a selected one of the mobile stations to be forwarded to a next destination (Column 4 Line 49–52); and (c) direct reverse communication traffic associated with the selected mobile station to a selected one or more of the plurality of base transceiver stations (Column 2 Line 32–40). Bach, however, does not teach selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/648,865

Art Unit: 2665

associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station and a predicted position associated with the selected mobile station. Huang teaches selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station (Column 8 Line 9-12). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bach to have selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station as taught by Huang in order to maintain communication when the mobile station experiences changes in the communication conditions (Column 1 Line 29-36).

Regarding to claim 2 and 14, Bach teaches the data streams associated with the communication session from the selected mobile station, and the selection and distribution unit configured to select only one data stream to be forwarded (Column 6 Line 10–17).

Regarding to claim 3 and 15, Bach teaches the data streams associated with a plurality of call sessions from the mobile stations, and the selection and

Art Unit: 2665

distribution unit configured to select only one data stream to be forwarded for each call session (Column 6 Line 41–53).

Regarding to claim 6 and 18, Bach teaches the data stream comprising packets using an internet protocol (Column 3 Line 43).

3. Claim 7–10 and 19–22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bach in view of Huang et al. and further in view of Shaughnessy et al. (US Patent 6,141,347).

Regarding to claim 7 and 19, Bach teaches an apparatus, comprising: a selection and distribution unit 115 that is included within a base station controller 105, the selection and distribution unit being operable to: (a) receive signal quality information from a plurality of base transceiver stations 101–103 about a plurality of data streams that are associated with a plurality of mobile stations 113 which are capable of moving within a wireless network (Column 2 Line 30–31, Column 4 Line 20–24); (b) select one of the plurality of data streams associated with a selected one of the mobile stations to be forwarded to a next destination (Column 4 Line 49–52); and (c) direct reverse communication traffic associated with the selected mobile station to a selected one or more of the plurality of base transceiver stations (Column 2 Line 32–40). Bach, however, does not teach selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an

Art Unit: 2665

associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station and a predicted position associated with the selected mobile station. Huang teaches selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station (Column 8 Line 9-12). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bach to have selection and distribution unit determining which base transceiver station is to accommodate an associated communication session based on a selected one of a signal strength associated with the selected mobile station as taught by Huang in order to maintain communication when the mobile station experiences changes in the communication conditions (Column 1 Line 29-36). Bach in view of Huang, however, does not teach providing a multicast address to the next destination for transmitting a data stream. Shaughnessy teaches providing a multicast address to the next destination for transmitting a data stream (Column 7 Line 17-21). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bach in view of Huang to provide a multicast address to the next destination for transmitting a data stream as taught by Shaughnessy in order to provide one-to-many capability (Column 3 Line 50-53).

Regarding to claim 8 and 20, Shaughnessy teaches instructing a selected one of the base transceiver stations to receive the data stream at the multicast address (Column 7 Line 44–49).

Regarding to claim 9 and 21, Shaughnessy teaches the data stream comprising packets using an internet protocol (Column 3 Line 45–48).

Regarding to claim 10 and 22, Shaughnessy teaches establishing a multicast address for issuing commands to the plurality of base transceiver stations (Column 7 Line 5–9).

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on March 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument on claim 1, page 6-8, that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine could be

Art Unit: 2665

to give more flexibility to the selection and distribution unit in controlling handoff (Column 8 Line 17-18).

Page 7

6. In response to applicant's argument on claim 1, page 8, that there is no reasonable expectation of success for the proposed combination, they are both mobile communication systems which has mobile unit, base station and base station controller. The modification to the primary reference is the specific way of selecting base transceiver. One skilled in the art would expect success for the proposed combination.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Art Unit: 2665

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 -

5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clemence Han

C.H.

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

STEVEN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8